

Forest Harvest Plan Checklist


Area	Zama-2	Disposition Number	FMA020040
Company	Tolko, Norbord, LCSCM	Date Disposition Issued	January 1, 2011
Submission Date	August 24, 2018	Date Disposition Expires	April 30, 2021
Re-Submission Date	October 17, 2018		

APPROVAL ITEM	Yes/No (Company)	INITIAL/DATE (SRD)
1) Has the FHP been validated by an RFP?	Yes	
2) Does the FHP add less than 20% of the area sequenced in the SHS by 16 yield strata by compartment per decade?	Yes	
3) Is the harvest area less than 100% of the area in the SHS by 16 yield strata by compartment per decade?	Yes	
4) Is a Compartment Assessment required?	No	
5) Does the FHP adhere to all Ground Rules?	No - Variances requested	


	Company (Y,N,N/A)	Company Comments (optional)	GOA (Y,N,N/A)	GOA Comments (optional)
A. Administrative Considerations				
- Has a copy of the FHP been provided to:				
- Senior Forester?	Y	Digitally Alberta FTP Site	Y	
- Forest Officer?	Y		Y	
- Other?			Y	
- Is the FHP consistent with approved higher order plans (DFMP, SHS, GDP)?	Y		Y	
- Has the required disposition been issued and is active?	Y		Y	
- Is the FHP complete and legible?	Y		Y	
- maps as per 3.4.5	Y		Y	
- additional information as per 3.4.6 and 3.4.8	Y		Y	
- detailed harvest area plans where requested	Y		Y	
B. Utilization				
- Has the SHS variance been reported and summarized for the FHP?	Y		Y	
- Does the utilization standard match tenure document?	N/A	To be included in AOP		100%
- Are the deviations from utilization standards identified, explained and justified (rub posts, high stumps, retention, etc)? If there are no deviations, enter N/A.	N/A	No Deviations	Y	
C. Ground Rule Deviations - Complete if answered "NO" to Approval Item #5 (top of page), otherwise enter N/A				
- Have all the blocks containing ground rule deviations been identified?	Y		Y	
- Has an explanation and justification been provided for all ground rule deviations?	Y		Y	
D. Integration with Other Users.				
- Have all operators agreed to the FHP?	TBD	FHP has been provided to DMI and Power Wood	Y	
- Has the recipient of incidental volumes and chargeability been identified?	N	To be included in AOP	Y	0.0%
- Have all the affected trappers been identified and contacted?	Y		Y	
- Have known trapper cabins, trails and other improvements been identified and integrated into the plan?	Y		Y	
- Have known recreational groups been identified and contacted where issues have been observed?	Y		Y	
- Has a GTA been completed and grazing disposition holders been contacted (Directive 2006-01)?	N	No Grazing Leases	Y	no
- Have the required historical resource assessments been completed and, if necessary, integrated into the plan?	Y	Awaiting final clearance	Y	
- Have all issues raised by other users or the public regarding this plan been documented?	Y		Y	
- Have potential land use conflicts been documented and mitigated (PNT, CNT, road use agreements, etc.)?	Y	Awaiting third party feedback	Y	
E. Access Management (temporary access only)				
- Have access management measures been described and identified (location, timing, signage, etc)?	N	To be included in AOP	N	AOP/CCD
F. Sensitive Sites				
- Have aesthetic/recreation concerns been addressed?	Y		Y	
- Have water source areas been identified and potential impacts mitigated?	Y	No water source areas	Y	
G. Road Design				
- Have the location, design and width of temporary road corridors been identified?	Y		Y	
- Has a list of watercourse crossings including watercourse classification been provided?	Y		Y	
- Have any crossings not exempt under the Water Act been identified?	Y	No crossings not exempt	Y	
- Have existing access/DLOs which have been integrated into the plan been identified on the map?	Y		Y	
H. Wildlife				
- Have wildlife zones within the planning area been identified and incorporated into the plan as per 7.7?	Y		Y	
- Have all known sensitive wildlife sites been addressed (mineral licks, raptor nests, den sites, etc)?	Y		Y	
I. Insect, Disease & Fire				
- Does the FHP comply with direction provided in Community Firesmart Plans?	Y	Not within zone	Y	
- Have known insect and disease infestations been identified and described?	Y	No known infestations	Y	
- Have mitigation strategies for infestation, diseases or endangered timber been described?	Y	No known infestations	Y	
- Have debris disposal methods been identified?	Y	as per OGRs	Y	
J. Silviculture				
- Have any watercourse crossings that will be maintained for silviculture purposes been identified?	N	To be included in reforestation AOP	N	AOP
- Has a pre-harvest strata declaration been included for each opening?	Y		Y	

-FHP's are approved through acceptance and will be considered approved on the date Alberta acknowledges receipt of the work.
 -Alberta shall notify the organization of its acknowledging receipt within 5 working days of submission.
 -The notification date shall be the start date for FHP approval.
 -Alberta shall post the FHP on the web and provide documentation to verify its accuracy.
 -At any time, approval can be reviewed where Alberta feels the FHP is inaccurate or deficient in content.

Company Validation




JILL R. KUBICA
 RPF 732



 Tolko Industries Ltd.
 Company

October 24, 2018
Date

GOA Validation



DAN COOMBS
 RPF 1794


 Nov 20, 2018
 Date

Note: This Checklist should reflect the current or FMA Operating Ground Rules - this is a template.
 Note: Appraisal of the FHP is required if "No" has been indicated on any of the above Approval Items.