


Forest Harvest Plan Checklist


Area	Bassett-4	Disposition Number	FMA0200040
Company	Tolko, Norbord, LCSM	Date Disposition Issued	January 1, 2011
Submission Date	September 5, 2018	Date Disposition Expires	April 30, 2021
Re-Submission Date	October 23, 2018		

APPROVAL ITEM	Yes/No (Company)	INITIAL/DATE (SRD)
1) Has the FHP been validated by an RFP?	Yes	
2) Does the FHP add less than 20% of the area sequenced in the SHS by 16 yield strata by compartment per decade?	Yes	
3) Is the harvest area less than 100% of the area in the SHS by 16 yield strata by compartment per decade?	Yes	
4) Is a Compartment Assessment required?	No	
5) Does the FHP adhere to all Ground Rules?	No - Variances requested	

	Company (Y,N,N/A)	Company Comments (optional)	GOA (Y,N,N/A)	GOA Comments (optional)
A. Administrative Considerations				
- Has a copy of the FHP been provided to:				
- Senior Forester?	Y	Digitally	Y	
- Forest Officer?	Y		Y	
- Other?				
- Is the FHP consistent with approved higher order plans (DFMP, SHS, GDP)?	Y		Y	
- Has the required disposition been issued and is active?	Y		Y	
- Is the FHP complete and legible?	Y		Y	
- maps as per 3.4.5	Y		Y	
- additional information as per 3.4.6 and 3.4.8	Y		Y	
- detailed harvest area plans where requested	Y		Y	
B. Utilization				
- Has the SHS variance been reported and summarized for the FHP?	Y		Y	
- Does the utilization standard match tenure document?	N/A	To be included in AOP	Y	AOP
- Are the deviations from utilization standards identified, explained and justified (rub posts, high stumps, retention, etc)? If there are no deviations, enter N/A.	Y	High Stumps	Y	
C. Ground Rule Deviations - Complete if answered "NO" to Approval Item #5 (top of page), otherwise enter N/A				
- Have all the blocks containing ground rule deviations been identified?	Y		Y	
- Has an explanation and justification been provided for all ground rule deviations?	Y		Y	
D. Integration with Other Users.				
- Have all operators agreed to the FHP?	TBD	FHP has been provided to DMI	Y	
- Has the recipient of incidental volumes and chargeability been identified?	N	To be included in AOP	Y	AOP
- Have all the affected trappers been identified and contacted?	Y		Y	
- Have known trapper cabins, trails and other improvements been identified and integrated into the plan?	Y		Y	
- Have known recreational groups been identified and contacted where issues have been observed?	Y		Y	
- Has a GTA been completed and grazing disposition holders been contacted (Directive 2006-01)?	N	No Grazing Leases	Y	No Grazing Leases
- Have the required historical resource assessments been completed and, if necessary, integrated into the plan?	Y	Awaiting final clearance	Y	Awaiting final clearance
- Have all issues raised by other users or the public regarding this plan been documented?	Y		Y	
- Have potential land use conflicts been documented and mitigated (PNT, CNT, road use agreements, etc.)?	N		Y	
E. Access Management (temporary access only)				
- Have access management measures been described and identified (location, timing, signage, etc)?	N	To be included in AOP	Y	
F. Sensitive Sites				
- Have aesthetic/recreation concerns been addressed?	Y		Y	
- Have water source areas been identified and potential impacts mitigated?	Y	No water source areas	Y	
G. Road Design				
- Have the location, design and width of temporary road corridors been identified?	Y		Y	
- Has a list of watercourse crossings including watercourse classification been provided?	Y		Y	
- Have any crossings not exempt under the Water Act been identified?	Y	No crossings not exempt	Y	
- Have existing access/DLOs which have been integrated into the plan been identified on the map?	Y		Y	
H. Wildlife				
- Have wildlife zones within the planning area been identified and incorporated into the plan as per 7.7?	Y		Y	
- Have all known sensitive wildlife sites been addressed (mineral licks, raptor nests, den sites, etc)?	Y		Y	
I. Insect, Disease & Fire				
- Does the FHP comply with direction provided in Community Firesmart Plans?	Y	Not within zone	Y	
- Have known insect and disease infestations been identified and described?	Y	No known infestations	Y	
- Have mitigation strategies for infestation, diseases or endangered timber been described?	Y	No known infestations	Y	
- Have debris disposal methods been identified?	Y	as per OGRs	Y	
J. Silviculture				
- Have any watercourse crossings that will be maintained for silviculture purposes been identified?	N	To be included in reforestation AOP	Y	
- Has a pre-harvest strata declaration been included for each opening?	Y		Y	

-FHP's are approved through acceptance and will be considered approved on the date Alberta acknowledges receipt of the work.
 -Alberta shall notify the organization by acknowledging receipt within 5 working days of submission.
 -The notification date will be documented by Alberta as the start date for FHP approval.
 -Alberta shall periodically check the validity of supporting documentation to verify its accuracy.
 -At any time, approval can be rescinded if Alberta finds the FHP is inaccurate or deficient in content.

Company Signature: 
 Submission Date: _____ Tolko Industries Ltd. and Norbord Inc. Company Date: October 24, 2018

GOA Validation: 
 Reviewing RFP Validation: _____ Date: _____

Note: This Checklist should reflect regional or FMA Operating Ground Rules - this is a template.
 Note: Appraisal of the FHP is required if "No" has been indicated on any of the above Approval Items.