


Forest Harvest Plan Checklist

Area Bassett - 2 Disposition Number FMA0200040
 Company Tolko, Norbord, LCSM Date Disposition Issued January 1, 2011
 Submission Date 17-Oct-18 Date Disposition Expires April 30, 2021

APPROVAL ITEM	Yes/No (Company)	INITIAL/DATE (SRD)
1) Has the FHP been validated by an RFP?	Yes	
2) Does the FHP add less than 20% of the area sequenced in the SHS by compartment per decade?	Yes	
3) Is the harvest area less than 100% of the total area in the SHS or strata description table by compartment per decade?	Yes	
4) Is a Compartment Assessment required?	No	
5) Does the FHP adhere to all Ground Rules?	No - Variances requested	

	Company (Y,N,N/A)	Company Comments (optional)	GOA (Y,N,N/A)	GOA Comments (optional)
A. Administrative Considerations				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Has a copy of the FHP been provided to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Senior Forester? Y - Forest Officer? Y - Other? _____ · Is the FHP consistent with approved higher order plans (DFMP, SHS, GDP)? Y · Has the required disposition been issued and is active? Y · Is the FHP complete and legible? Y <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - maps as per 3.4.5 Y - additional information as per 3.4.6 and 3.4.8 Y - detailed harvest area plans where requested Y 		Digitally Alberta FTP Site		
B. Utilization				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Has the SHS variance been reported and summarized for the FHP? Y · Does the utilization standard match tenure document? N/A · Are the deviations from utilization standards identified, explained and justified (rub posts, high stumps, retention, etc)? If there are no deviations, enter N/A. N/A 		To be included in AOP No Deviations		
C. Ground Rule Deviations - Complete if answered "NO" to Approval Item #5 (top of page), otherwise enter N/A				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Have all the blocks containing ground rule deviations been identified? Y · Has an explanation and justification been provided for all ground rule deviations? Y 				
D. Integration with Other Users.				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Have all operators agreed to the FHP? Y · Has the recipient of incidental volumes and chargeability been identified? N · Have all the affected trappers been identified and contacted? Y · Have known trapper cabins, trails and other improvements been identified and integrated into the plan? Y · Have known recreational groups been identified and contacted where issues have been observed? Y · Has a GTA been completed and grazing disposition holders been contacted (Directive 2006-01)? N · Have the required historical resource assessments been completed and, if necessary, integrated into the plan? Y · Have all issues raised by other users or the public regarding this plan been documented? Y · Have potential land use conflicts been documented and mitigated (PNT, CNT, road use agreements, etc.)? Y 		Tolko and Norbord SHS Only To be included in AOP No Grazing Leases Awaiting third party feedback		
E. Access Management (temporary access only)				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Have access management measures been described and identified (location, timing, signage, etc)? N 		To be included in AOP		
F. Sensitive Sites				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Have aesthetic/recreation concerns been addressed? Y · Have water source areas been identified and potential impacts mitigated? Y 		No water source areas		
G. Road Design				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Have the location, design and width of temporary road corridors been identified? Y · Has a list of watercourse crossings including watercourse classification been provided? Y · Have any crossings not exempt under the <i>Water Act</i> been identified? Y · Have existing access/DLOs which have been integrated into the plan been identified on the map? Y 		No crossings not exempt		
H. Wildlife				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Have wildlife zones within the planning area been identified and incorporated into the plan as per 7.7? Y · Have all known sensitive wildlife sites been addressed (mineral licks, raptor nests, den sites, etc)? Y 				
I. Insect, Disease & Fire				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Does the FHP comply with direction provided in Community Firesmart Plans? Y · Have known insect and disease infestations been identified and described? Y · Have mitigation strategies for infestation, diseases or endangered timber been described? Y · Have debris disposal methods been identified? Y 		Not within zone No known infestations No known infestations		
J. Silviculture				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Have any watercourse crossings that will be maintained for silviculture purposes been identified? N · Has a pre-harvest strata declaration been included for each opening? Y 		To be included in reforestation AOP		

Company Validation


 _____ Tolko Industries Ltd. _____
 Submitting RFP Validation Company Date

GOA Validation

 Reviewing RFP Validation Date

Note: This Checklist should reflect regional or FMA Operating Ground Rules - this is a template.
Note: Appraisal of the FHP is required if "No" has been indicated on any of the above Approval Items.